arXiv:math/0311244v1 [math.QA] 14 Nov 2003

Internal bialgebroids, entwining structures and corings

Gabriella Böhm
Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Budapest,
H-1525 Budapest 114, P.O.B. 49, Hungary
E-mail: G.Bohm@rmki.kfki.hu

Abstract

The internal bialgebroid – in a symmetric monoidal category with coequalizers – is defined. The axioms are formulated in terms of internal entwining structures and alternatively, in terms of internal corings. The Galois property of the coring in question is related to the $\times_R$-Hopf algebra property. The language of entwining structures is used to discuss duality.

1 Introduction

Entwining structures have been introduced by T. Brzeziński and S. Majid [7] in defining coalgebra principal bundles. The relevance of entwining structures is raised by the observation of M. Takeuchi that they provide examples of corings – i.e. comonoids in bimodule categories.

The theory of corings [8] has been applied among others in the theory of rings and of graded algebras and also in non-commutative geometry. One of the most important applications is, however, its connection with bialgebras. The various notions of Hopf type modules over a bialgebra can be unified in terms of comodules over appropriate corings [4, 5]. In particular, as it was pointed out by R. Wisbauer ([28], Proposition 5.2) an algebra (A, μ_A, η_A) and a coalgebra $(A, \Delta_A, \epsilon_A)$ over a commutative ring k (where A is faithful as a k-module) combine into a bialgebra if and only if the k-module $A \underset{k}{\otimes} A$ – with left A-action $\mu_{A \underset{k}{\otimes} A}(\Delta_A \underset{k}{\otimes} A \underset{k}{\otimes} A)$ and right A-action $A \underset{k}{\otimes} \mu_A$, coproduct $\Delta_A \underset{k}{\otimes} A$ and counit $\epsilon_A \underset{k}{\otimes} A$ – is an A-coring. Alternatively, if and only if the algebra and the coalgebra structures are entwined by the map $\mu_{A \underset{k}{\otimes} A}(\Delta_A \underset{k}{\otimes} A \underset{k}{\otimes} \eta_A)$.

In the papers [9] and [28] entwining structures and corings have been generalized by allowing the module structures in the definition to be non-unital. The main motivation for the introduction of these so called weak entwining structures and weak corings was to establish similar connections with weak bialgebras [16, 2] as one has between entwining structures, corings and bialgebras.

The motivation of the present paper is similar. In order to make connection with (internal) bialgebroids, we consider internal entwining structures and corings over monoids in monoidal categories with coequalizers.

The role of corings in the description of Doi-Koppinen modules over a bialgebroid has been studied already in [6]. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, R, s, t, \gamma, \pi)$ be a bialgebroid [12, 27] or, what is equivalent to it, a $\times_R$-bialgebra [26]. In this notation the algebras A and R over the commutative ring k are the total and base algebras, respectively, the maps $s : R \to A$ and $t : R^{\mathrm{op}} \to A$ are the source and target maps, γ the coproduct and π the counit. Recall that A is an R-R-bimodule via

$$r \cdot a \cdot r' := s(r)t(r')a \qquad r, r' \in R \quad a \in A.$$

In the paper [6] an A-coring has been associated to $\mathcal{A}$ (and more generally to any Doi-Koppinen datum over R.) It is $A \underset{R}{\otimes} A$ as a k-module, the $A - A$-bimodule structure is given by

$$a \cdot (b \underset{R}{\otimes} c) \cdot d = a_{(1)} b \underset{R}{\otimes} a_{(2)} cd \qquad a, b, c, d \in A$$

(where the usual Sweedler's convention is used and $b \underset{R}{\otimes} c$ is the image of $b \underset{k}{\otimes} c$ under the canonical projection $A \underset{k}{\otimes} A \to A \underset{R}{\otimes} A$) and the coproduct and the counit are $\gamma \underset{R}{\otimes} A$ and $\pi \underset{R}{\otimes} A$, respectively. This coring, however, does not come from an entwining structure.

Our first motivation to consider internal entwining structures is the aesthetical need to associate an entwining structure (in the bimodule category ${}_R\mathcal{M}_R$) to a bialgebroid. This is done in the Example 3.3 below. It is explained in the Section 6 how is the internal entwining structure in the Example 3.3 related to the coring of [6].

Our second motivation comes from the study of internal bialgebroids. The definition of algebraic structures inside categories is a very old idea [13] with many interesting results. Let us mention the papers [17, 14, 18], for example.

Internal bialgebroids are defined in symmetric monoidal categories with coequalizers. They consist of the data $(A, R, s, t, \gamma, \pi)$ where A and R are monoids in $\mathcal{M}$ and s, t, γ and π are morphisms in $\mathcal{M}$. The axioms are naively copied from the case when $\mathcal{M}$ is the category of modules over a commutative ring k.

Bialgebroids have been characterized by B. Day and R. Street in purely categorical terms [10]. They consider two objects R and A in a monoidal bicategory, a pseudo-monoid structure on A together with a certain strong monoidal morphism from this pseudo-monoid to the canonical pseudo-monoid associated to R. In the particular case of the monoidal bicategory of bimodules – i.e. the one with 0-cells the k-algebras, 1-cells the bimodules and 2-cells the bimodule morphisms, – this definition recovers the one of the bialgebroid. As a support of our Definition 5.1 of internal bialgebroids we prove an equivalence with the description of [10] in the case of the monoidal bicategory of internal bimodules.

We give a characterization of internal bialgebroids also in terms of internal entwining structures and alternatively in terms of internal corings. An (internal) coring associated to an (internal) bialgebroid is shown to be Galois (in the sense of Definition 4.11) if and only if the bialgebroid is a $\times_R$-Hopf algebra in the sense of [19].

In the case of a bialgebroid $\mathcal{A} = (A, R, s, t, \gamma, \pi)$ over the commutative ring k one of the R-module structures of A was assumed to be finitely generated projective in [11]. Under this assumption the R-dual was shown to have an opposite bialgebroid structure (which was called a right bialgebroid there).

Our formulation of the axioms in terms of an entwining structure provides a natural framework for the study of the duality of internal bialgebroids, as the entwining structure is a self-dual notion. Generalizing the result of [11] we prove that if both objects appearing in the entwining structure possess right duals, then the dual entwining structure determines a dual internal (right) bialgebroid.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we fix our notations and conventions.

Throughout the paper k is a commutative ring and $\mathcal{M}_k$ denotes the symmetric monoidal category of k modules.

The monoidal categories of the paper are not required to be strict but – relying on coherence – we do not denote the reassociator and unit isomorphisms.

Let $(\mathcal{M}, \Box, U)$ be a monoidal category which possesses coequalizers and in which the monoidal product preserves the coequalizers. (In this situation we say shortly that $\mathcal{M}$ is a monoidal category with coequalizers. A typical example of such categories is $\mathcal{M}_k$.) Let us construct the bicategory $\mathbf{BIM}(\mathcal{M})$. The 0-cells in $\mathbf{BIM}(\mathcal{M})$ are the monoids in $\mathcal{M}$, the 1-cells the bimodules in $\mathcal{M}$ and the 2-cells the internal bimodule morphisms. We use bold face letters for the cells in $\mathbf{BIM}(\mathcal{M})$ and the same Roman letters for their $\mathcal{M}$-object part. For example we write $\mathbf{A} = (A, \mu_A, \eta_A)$ for a monoid and $\mathbf{M} = (M, \lambda_M, \rho_M)$ for a bimodule in $\mathcal{M}$.

The vertical product in $\mathbf{BIM}(\mathcal{M})$ is the composition $\circ$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and the horizontal product is the module tensor product – constructed with the help of the coequalizers as follows: Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}$ be monoids in $\mathcal{M}$ and let $\mathbf{M} = (M, \lambda_M, \rho_M)$ and $\mathbf{N} = (N, \lambda_N, \rho_N)$ be $\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{B}$-$\mathbf{C}$ bimodules, respectively. The object $M \underset{B}{\otimes} N$ is the object part of the coequalizer of the parallel morphisms $\rho_M \Box N$ and $M \Box \lambda_N$ as on Figure 1. We use the notations $\sqcap(\; , \;)$ and $\underset{B}{\otimes}$ as on Figure 1 throughout the paper. The $\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{C}$-bimodule $\mathbf{M} \underset{\mathbf{B}}{\otimes} \mathbf{N} = (M \underset{B}{\otimes} N, \lambda_{M \underset{B}{\otimes} N}, \rho_{M \underset{B}{\otimes} N})$ consists of the unique morphisms $\lambda_{M \underset{B}{\otimes} N}$ and $\rho_{M \underset{B}{\otimes} N}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ which satisfy

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \Box B \Box N \arrow[r, shift left, "\rho_M \Box N"] \arrow[r, shift right, "M \Box \lambda_N"'] & M \Box N \arrow[r, "\sqcap(M,N)"] & M \underset{B}{\otimes} N
\end{tikzcd}$$

Figure 1: The coequalizer diagram used to define the module tensor product

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{M \underset{B}{\otimes} N} \circ (A \Box \sqcap (M,N)) &= \sqcap(M,N) \circ (\lambda_M \Box N) \quad \text{and} \\
\rho_{M \underset{B}{\otimes} N} \circ (\sqcap(M,N) \Box C) &= \sqcap(M,N) \circ (M \Box \rho_N) \,,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

respectively. The $\mathbf{B}$-module tensor product of bimodule morphisms $\xi : \mathbf{M} \to \mathbf{M}'$ and $\zeta : \mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{N}'$ is the unique morphism $\xi \underset{B}{\otimes} \zeta$ in $\mathcal{M}$ which satisfies

$$(\xi \underset{B}{\otimes} \zeta) \circ \sqcap(M,N) = \sqcap(M',N') \circ (\xi \Box \zeta).$$

The morphisms $\lambda_{M \underset{B}{\otimes} N}$, $\rho_{M \underset{B}{\otimes} N}$ and $\xi \underset{B}{\otimes} \zeta$ have been constructed using the universality of the coequalizer – what will be done often in the paper. Recall that such definitions "g is the unique morphism for which $g \circ \sqcap(M,N) = f$ " make sense provided $f \circ (\rho_M \Box N) = f \circ (M \Box \lambda_N)$.

Recall also that the coequalizer $\sqcap(M,N)$ is an epimorphism hence we can transform statements on 1-cells in $\mathbf{BIM}(\mathcal{M})$ to equivalent statements on morphisms in $\mathcal{M}$ by composing with it on the right.

About the construction of the coherent reassociator and unit isomorphisms in $\mathbf{BIM}(\mathcal{M})$ consult the Appendix in [3].

Relying on coherence again, we do not denote the reassociator isomorphism in $\mathbf{BIM}(\mathcal{M})$ and identify the isomorphic objects $A \underset{A}{\otimes} M$ and $M \underset{B}{\otimes} B$ with M.

If the category $\mathcal{M}$ is also symmetric then the bicategory $\mathbf{BIM}(\mathcal{M})$ is also monoidal. The monoidal product is the monoidal product $\Box$ of $\mathcal{M}$ on the objects with the obvious inducement on the morphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A} \Box \mathbf{B} &= (A \Box B, (\mu_A \Box \mu_B) \circ (A \Box \Sigma_{B,A} \Box B), \eta_A \Box \eta_B) \\
\mathbf{M} \Box \mathbf{N} &= (M \Box N, (\lambda_M \Box \lambda_N) \circ (A \Box \Sigma_{B,M} \Box N), (\rho_M \Box \rho_N) \circ (M \Box \Sigma_{N,C} \Box D))
\end{aligned}$$

for monoids $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$, the $\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{C}$-bimodule $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{B}$-$\mathbf{D}$-bimodule $\mathbf{N}$.

3 Entwining structures in monoidal categories

The classical notion of entwining structure [7] consists of an algebra S and a coalgebra L over a commutative ring k and a linear map $\psi : S \underset{k}{\otimes} L \to L \underset{k}{\otimes} S$ relating the algebra and the coalgebra structures. In what follows we replace the algebra S and the coalgebra L by a monoid $\mathbf{S}$ and a comonoid $\mathbf{L}$ in a monoidal category $(\mathcal{M}, \Box, U)$.

Definition 3.1 A *left entwining structure* in a monoidal category $(\mathcal{M}, \Box, U)$ consists of a monoid $\mathbf{S} = (S, \mu, \eta)$ a comonoid $\mathbf{L} = (L, \gamma, \pi)$ and a morphism $\psi : S\Box L \to L\Box S$ in $\mathcal{M}$ satisfying the conditions

$$\psi \circ (\eta \Box L) = L\Box\eta \tag{3.1}$$

$$(\pi\Box S) \circ \psi = S\Box\pi \tag{3.2}$$

$$(L\Box\mu) \circ (\psi\Box S) \circ (S\Box\psi) = \psi \circ (\mu\Box L) \tag{3.3}$$

$$(\gamma\Box S) \circ \psi = (L\Box\psi) \circ (\psi\Box L) \circ (S\Box\gamma). \tag{3.4}$$

Example 3.2 *Mixed distributive laws.* A left entwining structure in the monoidal category of endofunctors on a category $\mathcal{C}$ is the same as a mixed distributive law [1] of a monad over a comonad on $\mathcal{C}$.

Example 3.3 *The internal entwining structure associated to a bialgebroid.* Let $(A, R, s, t, \gamma, \pi)$ be a bialgebroid. The bimodule

$$S: \qquad r \cdot a \cdot r' = s(r)as(r') \qquad r, r' \in R,\ a \in A$$

with the multiplication

$$\mu : S \underset{R}{\otimes} S \to S \qquad a \underset{R}{\otimes} b \mapsto ab$$

and unit $s : R \to A$ form a monoid in the monoidal category $({}_R\mathcal{M}_R, \underset{R}{\otimes}, R)$ of R-R-bimodules.

The bimodule

$$L: \qquad r \cdot a \cdot r' = s(r)t(r')a \qquad r, r' \in R,\ a \in A$$

with the comultiplication γ and counit π form a comonoid in $({}_R\mathcal{M}_R, \underset{R}{\otimes}, R)$.

Introducing the bimodule morphism

$$\psi : S \underset{R}{\otimes} L \to L \underset{R}{\otimes} S \qquad a \underset{R}{\otimes} b \mapsto a_{(1)}b \underset{R}{\otimes} a_{(2)}$$

(where the usual Sweedler's convention has been used) we have a left entwining structure in ${}_R\mathcal{M}_R$.

Remark 3.4 The notion of the internal entwining structure is self-dual in the following sense. Let the monoid $\mathbf{S} = (S, \mu, \eta)$, the comonoid $\mathbf{L} = (L, \gamma, \pi)$ and the morphism $\psi : S\Box L \to L\Box S$ form a left entwining structure in the monoidal category $(\mathcal{M}, \Box, U)$. Suppose furthermore that both objects S and L possess right duals S^r and L^r in $\mathcal{M}$. Then the monoid $\mathbf{L}^r = (L^r, \gamma^r, \pi^r)$, the comonoid $\mathbf{S}^r = (S^r, \mu^r, \eta^r)$ and the morphism $\psi^r : S^r\Box L^r \to L^r\Box S^r$ form a *right entwining structure* that is they satisfy

$$\psi^r \circ (S^r\Box\pi^r) = \pi^r\Box S^r \tag{3.5}$$

$$(L^r\Box\eta^r) \circ \psi^r = \eta^r\Box L^r \tag{3.6}$$

$$(\psi^r\Box S^r) \circ (S^r\Box\psi^r) \circ (\mu^r\Box L^r) = (L^r\Box\mu^r) \circ \psi^r \tag{3.7}$$

$$\psi^r \circ (S^r\Box\gamma^r) = (\gamma^r\Box S^r) \circ (L^r\Box\psi^r) \circ (\psi^r\Box L^r). \tag{3.8}$$

4 Corings in monoidal categories with coequalizers

The classical corings [22] are comonoids in $({}_A\mathcal{M}_A, \underset{A}{\otimes}, A)$, the monoidal category of bimodules over a k-algebra A. In what follows we are going to replace A with a monoid $\mathbf{A}$ in a monoidal category $(\mathcal{M}, \Box, U)$. In order to define module tensor product (over $\mathbf{A}$) we need the further assumption that $(\mathcal{M}, \Box, U)$ is a monoidal category with coequalizers. As it is explained in the Section 2, under this assumption the category ${}_{\mathbf{A}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}}$ of $\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{A}$-bimodules in $\mathcal{M}$ is a (lax) monoidal category with monoidal unit $\mathbf{A}$ and monoidal product $\underset{A}{\otimes}$.

Definition 4.1 Let $(\mathcal{M},\Box,U)$ be a monoidal category with coequalizers and let $\mathbf{A}$ be a monoid in $\mathcal{M}$. *An* $\mathbf{A}$*-coring in* $\mathcal{M}$ is a comonoid in ${}_{\mathbf{A}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}}$. The morphisms of $\mathbf{A}$-corings in $\mathcal{M}$ are the comonoidal morphisms in ${}_{\mathbf{A}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}}$.

We use the notation $\mathbf{C}=(C,\Lambda,\mathcal{P},\Delta,\epsilon)$ for an $\mathbf{A}$-coring where $(C,\Lambda,\mathcal{P})$ is an $\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{A}$-bimodule in $\mathcal{M}$, the Δ is the coproduct and ϵ is the counit.

Example 4.2 A coring over a k-algebra A is a coring in the monoidal category of k-modules.

Example 4.3 Any comonoid in a monoidal category with coequalizers is a coring over the monoidal unit (with its obvious monoid structure).

Example 4.4 *The internal Sweedler coring.* Let $(\mathcal{M},\Box,U)$ be a monoidal category with coequalizers and $\mathbf{A}=(A,\mu,\eta)$ a monoid in $\mathcal{M}$. The $\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{A}$-bimodule $(A\Box A,\mu\Box A,A\Box\mu)$ equipped with the coproduct $A\Box\eta\Box A : A\Box A\to(A\Box A)\underset{A}{\otimes}(A\Box A)\simeq A\Box A\Box A$ and counit $\mu : A\Box A\to A$ is an $\mathbf{A}$ coring in $\mathcal{M}$.

In particular let $\iota : R\to A$ be an extension of k-algebras. Then the canonical R-R-bimodule

$$r\cdot a\cdot r'=\iota(r)a\iota(r')\qquad r,r'\in R\quad a\in A$$

with the multiplication $\mu : A\underset{R}{\otimes}A\to A$, $a\underset{R}{\otimes}a'\mapsto aa'$ and unit ι is a monoid $\mathbf{A}$ in ${}_R\mathcal{M}_R$ and $A\underset{R}{\otimes}A$ has an $\mathbf{A}$-coring structure.

Example 4.5 *Corings from entwining structures.* Generalizing the observation of Takeuchi ([8], Proposition 32.6) one can construct examples of corings in monoidal categories $(\mathcal{M},\Box,U)$ with coequalizers from entwining structures in it. As a matter of fact let $\mathbf{S}=(S,\mu,\eta)$ be a monoid, $\mathbf{L}=(L,\gamma,\pi)$ a comonoid and $\psi : S\Box L\to L\Box S$ a morphism in $\mathcal{M}$. Introduce the morphism $\Lambda{:}=(L\Box\mu)\circ(\psi\Box S) : S\Box L\Box S\to L\Box S$ in $\mathcal{M}$. Then the datum

$$(L\Box S,\Lambda,L\Box\mu,\gamma\Box S,\pi\Box S)$$

is an $\mathbf{S}$-coring in $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if the triple $(\mathbf{S},\mathbf{L},\psi)$ is a left entwining structure in $\mathcal{M}$.

A most classical example of corings (in $\mathcal{M}_k$) is the Sweedler coring with object part $A\underset{R}{\otimes}A$ for an extension of k-algebras $R\to A$. Our Example 4.4 leads, however, to an internal coring in ${}_R\mathcal{M}_R$.

In [6] a coring (in $\mathcal{M}_k$) has been associated to a bialgebroid. Applying however the construction of Example 4.5 to the internal entwining structure in the Example 3.3 we obtain an internal coring in ${}_R\mathcal{M}_R$.

The following proposition shows that these corings in $\mathcal{M}_k$ can be obtained from the ones in ${}_R\mathcal{M}_R$ by applying the forgetful functor ${}_R\mathcal{M}_R\to\mathcal{M}_k$.

Proposition 4.6 *Let* $(\mathcal{M},\Box,U)$ *and* $(\mathcal{N},\Diamond,V)$ *be monoidal categories with coequalizers. Let* $(F,F_0,F_2) : \mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{N}$ *be a (lax) monoidal functor which preserves the module tensor products i.e. such that the diagram on Figure 2 is a coequalizer diagram in* $\mathcal{N}$ *for any monoid* $\mathbf{A}$ *and bimodules* $\mathbf{M}$ *and* $\mathbf{N}$ *in* $\mathcal{M}$*. Then the functor* F *preserves the corings.*

If furthermore F *is faithful and* F_2 *is epi then* F *also reflects the corings.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(M)\Diamond F(A)\Diamond F(N) \arrow[r, shift left, "F(\rho_M)\circ F_2\Diamond F(N)"] \arrow[r, shift right, "F(M)\Diamond F(\lambda_N)\circ F_2"'] & F(M)\Diamond F(N) \arrow[r, "F(\sqcap(M,N))\circ F_2"] & F(M\underset{A}{\otimes}N)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Figure 2: The coequalizer diagram in $\mathcal{N}$

Remark 4.7 The module tensor product preserving property of a monoidal functor $F:\mathcal{M}\to\mathcal{N}$ is equivalent to the requirement that the composite functor $FG^A : {}_{\mathbf{A}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}}\to\mathcal{N}$ is *essentially strong monoidal* [24] for any monoid $\mathbf{A}$ in $\mathcal{M}$, where G^A is the forgetful functor ${}_{\mathbf{A}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}}\to\mathcal{M}$.

Proof of Proposition 4.6. Any monoidal functor (F, F_0, F_2) preserves monoids, bimodules and bimodule morphisms. As a matter of fact for a monoid $\mathbf{A} = (A, \mu, \eta)$, an $\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{A}$ bimodule $\mathbf{M} = (M, \lambda, \rho)$ and $\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{A}$ bimodule morphism ξ in $\mathcal{M}$ the $F(\mathbf{A}) = (F(A), F(\mu) \circ F_2, F(\eta) \circ F_0)$ is a monoid, $F(\mathbf{M}) = (F(M), F(\lambda) \circ F_2, F(\rho) \circ F_2)$ is an $F(\mathbf{A})$-$F(\mathbf{A})$ -bimodule and $F(\xi)$ is an $F(\mathbf{A})$-$F(\mathbf{A})$-bimodule morphism in $\mathcal{N}$.

Let us define the functor $\tilde{F} : {}_{\mathbf{A}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}} \to {}_{F(\mathbf{A})}\mathcal{N}_{F(\mathbf{A})}$ as

$$\mathbf{M} \mapsto F(\mathbf{M}) \qquad \xi \mapsto F(\xi)$$

on the objects and on the morphisms, respectively. Then $\tilde{F}$ is a (lax) monoidal functor with $\tilde{F_0} = F(A)$ and $\tilde{F_2}$ the unique morphism in $\mathcal{N}$ for which

$$\tilde{F_2} \circ \sqcap(F(M), F(N)) = F(\sqcap(M, N)) \circ F_2.$$

Now by the assumption that F preserves the module tensor product $\tilde{F_2} = F(M \underset{A}{\otimes} N)$ hence $\tilde{F}$ is strict monoidal. Since strong monoidal functors preserve comonoids this proves that for an $\mathbf{A}$-coring $(C, \Lambda, \mathcal{P}, \Delta, \epsilon)$ in $\mathcal{M}$ the $(F(C), F(\Lambda) \circ F_2, F(\mathcal{P}) \circ F_2, F(\Delta), F(\epsilon))$ is an $F(\mathbf{A})$-coring in $\mathcal{N}$.

If F is also faithful and F_2 is epi then F reflects the monoids, bimodules and bimodule morphisms. Since $\tilde{F}$ is strong monoidal, it reflects the comonoids. Hence if $(F(C), F(\Lambda) \circ F_2, F(\mathcal{P}) \circ F_2, F(\Delta), F(\epsilon))$ is an $F(\mathbf{A})$-coring in $\mathcal{N}$ for some monoid $\mathbf{A}$, object C and morphisms $\Lambda, \mathcal{P}, \Delta$ and ϵ in $\mathcal{M}$ then $(C, \Lambda, \mathcal{P}, \Delta, \epsilon)$ is an $\mathbf{A}$-coring in $\mathcal{M}$. □

The following definition of the Galois property of internal corings is a straightforward generalization of the definition in [5]. In what follows $(\mathcal{M}, \Box, U)$ is a monoidal category with coequalizers, $\mathbf{A} = (A, \mu, \eta)$ is a monoid and $\mathbf{C} = (C, \Lambda, \mathcal{P}, \Delta, \epsilon)$ is an $\mathbf{A}$-coring in $\mathcal{M}$.

Definition 4.8 A *right* $\mathbf{C}$*-comodule in* $\mathcal{M}$ is a pair $(\mathbf{M}, \tau_M)$ where $\mathbf{M}$ is a right $\mathbf{A}$-module and $\tau_M : M \to M \underset{A}{\otimes} C$ is a right $\mathbf{A}$-module morphism in $\mathcal{M}$ satisfying

$$(\tau_M \underset{A}{\otimes} C) \circ \tau_M = (M \underset{A}{\otimes} \Delta) \circ \tau_M \tag{4.1}$$

$$M = (M \underset{A}{\otimes} \epsilon) \circ \tau_M. \tag{4.2}$$

A right $\mathbf{C}$ comodule morphism $(\mathbf{M}, \tau_M) \to (\mathbf{N}, \tau_N)$ is a right $\mathbf{A}$-module morphism $\phi : \mathbf{M} \to \mathbf{N}$ satisfying

$$\tau_N \circ \phi = (\phi \underset{A}{\otimes} C) \circ \tau_M.$$

Proposition 4.9 *The right* $\mathbf{A}$*-module* (A, μ) *in* $\mathcal{M}$ *can be equipped with a right* $\mathbf{C}$*-comodule structure if and only if there exists a morphism* $g : U \to C$ *satisfying the conditions*

$$\Delta \circ g = \sqcap(C, C) \circ (g \Box g) \tag{4.3}$$

$$\epsilon \circ g = \eta. \tag{4.4}$$

The morphism g *is called a* group-like morphism *for* $\mathbf{C}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\tau_A : A \to C$ is a right $\mathbf{C}$ coaction and set $g = \tau_A \circ \eta_A$. It satisfies (4.3) by (4.1) and (4.4) by (4.2). Conversely, suppose that there exists a group-like morphism g for $\mathbf{C}$ and set $\tau_A = \mathcal{P} \circ (g \Box A) : A \to C$. It is obviously a right $\mathbf{A}$-module morphism. The identity (4.1) follows from (4.3) and (4.2) from (4.4). □

Definition 4.10 Let $\mathbf{C}$ be an $\mathbf{A}$-coring with group-like morphism g and let (M, τ_M) be a right $\mathbf{C}$-comodule in $\mathcal{M}$. If there exists the equalizer of the parallel morphisms τ_M and $\sqcap(M, C) \circ (M \Box g)$ in $\mathcal{M}$ as on the Figure 3, then the object part $M_g^{\mathbf{C}}$ of the equalizer is the *coinvariant subobject* of M with respect to g. In particular, if there exists the equalizer of the parallel morphisms $\mathcal{P} \circ (g \Box A)$ and $\Lambda \circ (A \Box g)$ in $\mathcal{M}$ then its object part is the coinvariant subobject of A with respect to g.

$$\begin{tikzcd} M_g^{\mathbf{C}} \arrow[r, "\iota_M"] & M \arrow[r, shift left, "\tau_M"] \arrow[r, shift right, "\sqcap(M,C)\circ(M\square g)"'] & M \underset{A}{\otimes} C \end{tikzcd}$$

Figure 3: The definition of the coinvariant subobject

Let $\mathbf{C}$ be an $\mathbf{A}$-coring in $\mathcal{M}$ with group-like morphism g and corresponding coinvariant subobject B of A. The object B – if exists – has a monoid structure with multiplication μ_B and unit η_B which are the unique morphisms in $\mathcal{M}$ which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &\iota_A \circ \mu_B = \mu \circ (\iota_A \square \iota_A) \quad \text{and} \\ &\iota_A \circ \eta_B = \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Denote the $\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{B}$-bimodule $(A, \mu, \mu \circ (A \square \iota_A))$ by ι_* and the $\mathbf{B}$-$\mathbf{A}$-bimodule $(A, \mu \circ (\iota_A \square A), \mu)$ by ι^*. The $\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{A}$-bimodule $\iota_* \underset{B}{\otimes} \iota^*$ is completed to an $\mathbf{A}$-coring $\mathbf{C}_B$ with coproduct $\Delta_B = \iota_* \underset{B}{\otimes} \iota_A \underset{B}{\otimes} \iota^*$ and counit ϵ_B which is the unique morphisms in $\mathcal{M}$ for which

$$\epsilon_B \circ \sqcap(\iota_*, \iota^*) = \mu.$$

Definition 4.11 The $\mathbf{A}$-coring $\mathbf{C}$ with group-like morphism g and corresponding coinvariant subobject B of A is *Galois* (with respect to B) if there exists an isomorphism of $\mathbf{A}$-corings $\kappa : \mathbf{C}_B \to \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$\kappa \circ \sqcap(\iota_*, \iota^*) \circ (\eta \square \eta) = g. \tag{4.5}$$

The only $\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{A}$-bimodule morphism $\kappa : \iota_* \underset{B}{\otimes} \iota^* \to (C, \Lambda, \mathcal{P})$ which is subject to (4.5) satisfies

$$\kappa \circ \sqcap(\iota_*, \iota^*) = \Lambda \circ [A \square \mathcal{P} \circ (g \square A)]. \tag{4.6}$$

Since a morphism satisfying (4.6) is a morphism of $\mathbf{A}$-corings $\mathbf{C_B} \to \mathbf{C}$, the Galois property of $\mathbf{C}$ w.r.t. B is equivalent to the isomorphism property of the morphism κ defined by (4.6).

5 Bialgebroids in symmetric monoidal categories with coequalizers

Throughout the section $(\mathcal{M}, \square, U, \Sigma)$ is a symmetric monoidal category with coequalizers.

Definition 5.1 A *left bialgebroid in* $\mathcal{M}$ consists of the data

- monoids $\mathbf{R} = (R, \mu_R, \eta_R)$ and $\mathbf{A} = (A, \mu_A, \eta_A)$ in $\mathcal{M}$
- monoidal morphisms $s : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{A}$ and $t : \mathbf{R}^{op} \to \mathbf{A}$ satisfying

$$\mu_A \circ (s \square t) = \mu_A \circ \Sigma_{A,A} \circ (s \square t)$$

- a comonoid $\mathbf{L} = (L, \gamma, \pi)$ in ${}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ where L is the internal $\mathbf{R}$-$\mathbf{R}$-bimodule

$$(A, \mu_A \circ (s \square A), \mu_A \circ \Sigma_{A,A} \circ (A \square t)) \tag{5.1}$$

subject to the axioms

$$\rho_{M_A} \circ (\gamma \square t \square \eta_A) = \rho_{M_A} \circ (\gamma \square \eta_A \square s) \tag{5.2}$$

$$\gamma \circ \eta_A = \sqcap(L, L) \circ (\eta_A \square \eta_A) \tag{5.3}$$

$$\gamma \circ \mu_A = \lambda_{M_A} \circ (A \square \gamma) \tag{5.4}$$

$$\pi \circ \eta_A = \eta_R \tag{5.5}$$

$$\pi \circ \mu_A \circ (A \square s \circ \pi) = \pi \circ \mu_A = \pi \circ \mu_A \circ (A \square t \circ \pi) \tag{5.6}$$

where we introduced the $\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{A}$-bimodule $\mathbf{M_A} = (L \underset{R}{\otimes} L, \lambda_{M_A}, \rho_{M_A})$ with the unique morphisms λ_{M_A} and ρ_{M_A} which satisfy

$$\rho_{M_A} \circ (\sqcap(L,L)\Box A\Box A) = \sqcap(L,L) \circ \mu_{A\Box A} \tag{5.7}$$

$$\lambda_{M_A} \circ (A\Box \sqcap (L,L)) = \rho_{M_A} \circ (\gamma\Box A\Box A). \tag{5.8}$$

(The definition (5.8) makes sense by (5.2).) We use the notation $\mathcal{A} = (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{R}, s, t, \gamma, \pi)$ for a bialgebroid.

Applying the Definition 5.1 in the category $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_k$ of modules over a commutative ring k we recover the usual definition [26, 12, 27, 23] of the bialgebroid.

The morphisms of left bialgebroids over a fixed monoid $\mathbf{R}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ are introduced as follows. The bialgebroid morphisms from $\mathcal{A} = (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{R}, s, t, \gamma, \pi)$ to $\mathcal{A}' = (\mathbf{A}', \mathbf{R}, s', t', \gamma', \pi')$ are the morphisms $\Phi : A \to A'$ in $\mathcal{M}$ which satisfy

$$\Phi \text{ is a monoidal morphism } \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{A}' \text{ in } \mathcal{M} \tag{5.9}$$

$$\Phi \text{ is a comonoidal morphism } \mathbf{L} \to \mathbf{L}' \text{ in } {}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{R}}\ . \tag{5.10}$$

The category with objects the left bialgebroids in $\mathcal{M}$ over the base $\mathbf{R}$ and morphisms defined this way is denoted by $\mathbf{Bgd_R}(\mathcal{M})$.

In the paper [10] it has been proven that a bialgebroid in $\mathcal{M}_k$ is equivalent to the data

- two k-algebras $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{A}$
- a k- algebra map $\chi : \mathbf{R^e} \to \mathbf{A}$
- a pseudo-monoid $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{J})$ in $\mathbf{BIM}(\mathcal{M}_k)$

such that the $\mathbf{R^e}$-$\mathbf{A}$-bimodule induced by χ – that is $\chi^* = (A, \mu_A \circ (\chi\Box A), \mu_A)$ – is a strong monoidal morphism from $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{J})$ to the canonical pseudo-monoid $(\mathbf{R^e}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{j})$. (The symbol $\mathbf{R^e}$ stands for the enveloping algebra $\mathbf{R}\Box\mathbf{R}^{op}$.) In the following we prove an analogous result on bialgebroids in arbitrary symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{M}$ with coequalizers.

Recall that a pseudo-monoid in $\mathbf{BIM}(\mathcal{M})$ consists of a monoid $\mathbf{A}$, an $\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{A}$-bimodule $\mathbf{M}$ and a left $\mathbf{A}$-module $\mathbf{J}$ together with bimodule isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} l_A &: \mathbf{M} \underset{\mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{A}}{\otimes} (\mathbf{J}\Box\mathbf{A}) \to \mathbf{A} \\ r_A &: \mathbf{M} \underset{\mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{A}}{\otimes} (\mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{J}) \to \mathbf{A} \\ a_A &: \mathbf{M} \underset{\mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{A}}{\otimes} (\mathbf{M}\Box\mathbf{A}) \to \mathbf{M} \underset{\mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{A}}{\otimes} (\mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{M}) \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the pentagon and triangle identities.

Since $\mathbf{R^{op}} = (R, \mu_R \circ \Sigma_{R,R}, \eta_R)$ is the two-sided pseudo-dual of $\mathbf{R}$ in $\mathbf{BIM}(\mathcal{M})$, the monoid $\mathbf{R^e} \equiv \mathbf{R}\Box\mathbf{R^{op}}$ is the monoid part of the canonical pseudo-monoid with bimodules

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m} = \big(&R\Box R\Box R, \lambda_m = [\mu_R\Box(R\Box\mu_R)] \circ (R\Box\Sigma_{R,R^{\Box 3}}), \\ &\rho_m = [\mu_R\Box\mu_R \circ (\mu_R\Box R)\Box\mu_R] \circ (R^{\Box 4}\Box\Sigma_{R,R\Box R}) \circ (R\Box\Sigma_{R\Box R,R\Box R}\Box R\Box R)\big) \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

$$\mathbf{j} = (R, \mu_R \circ (R\Box\mu_R \circ \Sigma_{R,R}))\,. \tag{5.12}$$

The bimodule isomorphisms l_{R^e}, r_{R^e} and a_{R^e} are constructed as the unique morphisms in $\mathcal{M}$ for which

$$l_{R^e} \circ \sqcap(m, j\Box R^e) = (\mu_R\Box R) \circ \rho_m \circ (R^{\Box 4}\Box\eta_R\Box R^{\Box 2}) \tag{5.13}$$

$$r_{R^e} \circ \sqcap(m, R^e\Box j) = (R\Box\mu_R) \circ \rho_m \circ (R^{\Box 5}\Box\eta_R\Box R) \tag{5.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{R^e} \circ \sqcap(m, m\Box R^e) = \sqcap(m, R^e\Box m) &\circ (R\Box R\Box\eta_R^{\Box 4}\Box R\Box R) \circ (R\Box R\Box\mu_R\Box R) \circ \lambda_{m\Box R^e} \circ \\ &(R\Box\eta_R\Box R^{\Box 7}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

The requirement that χ^* is a monoidal morphism from $(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{M},\mathbf{J})$ to $(\mathbf{R^e},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{j})$ means the existence of (bi-)module morphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma &: \mathbf{m} \underset{\mathbf{R^e}\Box\mathbf{R^e}}{\otimes} (\chi^*\Box\chi^*) \to \chi^* \underset{A}{\otimes} \mathbf{M}\\ \iota &: \mathbf{j} \to \chi^* \underset{A}{\otimes} \mathbf{J}\end{aligned}$$

satisfying the conditions

$$(\chi^* \underset{A}{\otimes} l_A) \circ [\sigma \underset{A\Box A}{\otimes} (\mathbf{J}\Box\mathbf{A})] \circ [\mathbf{m} \underset{R^e\Box R^e}{\otimes} (\iota\Box\chi^*)] = l_{R^e} \underset{R^e}{\otimes} \chi^* \tag{5.16}$$

$$(\chi^* \underset{A}{\otimes} r_A) \circ [\sigma \underset{A\Box A}{\otimes} (\mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{J})] \circ [\mathbf{m} \underset{R^e\Box R^e}{\otimes} (\chi^*\Box\iota)] = r_{R^e} \underset{R^e}{\otimes} \chi^* \tag{5.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(\chi^* \underset{A}{\otimes} a_A) \circ [\sigma \underset{A\Box A}{\otimes} (\mathbf{M}\Box\mathbf{A})] \circ [\mathbf{m} \underset{R^e\Box R^e}{\otimes} (\sigma\Box\chi^*)] =\\ &\quad [\sigma \underset{A\Box A}{\otimes} (\mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{M})] \circ [\mathbf{m} \underset{R^e\Box R^e}{\otimes} (\chi^*\Box\sigma)] \circ [a_{R^e} \underset{R^e\Box R^e\Box R^e}{\otimes} (\chi^*\Box\chi^*\Box\chi^*)].\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

The *strong* monoidality of χ^* means the additional requirement that σ and ι are isomorphisms.

Let us introduce the category $\mathbf{DS_R}(\mathcal{M})$ as follows. The objects are the pairs consisting of a pseudo-monoid $(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{M},\mathbf{J})$ in $\mathbf{BIM}(\mathcal{M})$ and a strong monoidal morphism from $(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{M},\mathbf{J})$ to the canonical pseudo-monoid $(\mathbf{R^e},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{j})$ which is induced by a monoidal morphism $\chi : \mathbf{R^e} \to \mathbf{A}$ in $\mathcal{M}$. Such pairs are characterized by the collection of the data $(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{M},\mathbf{J},\mathbf{R},\chi,\sigma,\iota)$.

The morphisms $(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{M},\mathbf{J},\mathbf{R},\chi,\sigma,\iota) \to (\mathbf{A}',\mathbf{M}',\mathbf{J}',\mathbf{R},\chi',\sigma',\iota')$ in $\mathbf{DS_R}(\mathcal{M})$ are the morphisms $\Phi : A \to A'$ in $\mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\Phi \text{ is a monoidal morphism } \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{A}' \text{ in } \mathcal{M} \tag{5.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{the } \mathbf{A}-\mathbf{A}' \text{ bimodule } \Phi^* \text{ induced by } \Phi \text{ is a monoidal morphism}\\ &\quad (\mathbf{A}',\mathbf{M}',\mathbf{J}') \to (\mathbf{A},\mathbf{M},\mathbf{J}) \text{ in } \mathbf{BIM}(\mathcal{M})\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

$$\text{the composite of the monoidal morphisms } \Phi^* \text{ and } \chi^* \text{ is equal to } \chi'^*. \tag{5.21}$$

More explicitly the conditions (5.20-5.21) mean that $\Phi \circ \chi = \chi'$ and

$$\sigma' \circ [\sigma^{-1} \underset{A\Box A}{\otimes} (\Phi^*\Box\Phi^*)] : M \underset{A\Box A}{\otimes} (\Phi^*\Box\Phi^*) \to \Phi^* \underset{A}{\otimes} M' \qquad \text{and} \tag{5.22}$$

$$\iota' \circ \iota^{-1} : J \to \Phi^* \underset{A}{\otimes} J' \tag{5.23}$$

are (bi-) module isomorphisms satisfying the compatibility conditions of the type (5.16-5.18).

From now on let $\mathbf{R}$ be a fixed monoid in $\mathcal{M}$, the $(\mathbf{R^e},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{j})$ the canonical pseudo-monoid (5.11-5.12). For a monoidal morphism $\chi : \mathbf{R^e} \to \mathbf{A}$ let $s := \chi \circ (R\Box\eta_R)$ and $t := \chi \circ (\eta_R\Box R)$ and denote the $\mathbf{R}$-$\mathbf{R}$-bimodule (5.1) by L.

Lemma 5.2 *Let* $\mathbf{R}$ *and* $\mathbf{A}$ *be monoids and* $\chi : \mathbf{R^e} \to \mathbf{A}$ *a monoidal morphism in* $\mathcal{M}$. *Then the left* $\mathbf{R^e}$*-modules* $\mathbf{m} \underset{\mathbf{R^e}\Box\mathbf{R^e}}{\otimes} (\chi^*\Box\chi^*)$ *and* $\Big(L \underset{R}{\otimes} L, \lambda_{L\underset{R}{\otimes}L} \circ (R\Box\rho_{L\underset{R}{\otimes}L}) \circ (R\Box\Sigma_{R,L\underset{R}{\otimes}L})\Big)$ *in* $\mathcal{M}$ *are isomorphic.*

Proof. We construct the required isomorphism $\phi : m \underset{R^e\Box R^e}{\otimes} (\chi^*\Box\chi^*) \to L \underset{R}{\otimes} L$ as the unique morphism which satisfies

$$\phi \circ \sqcap(m, \chi^*\Box\chi^*) = \sqcap(L,L) \circ \lambda_{\chi^*\Box\chi^*} \circ (R\Box\eta_R\Box R\Box R\Box A\Box A). \tag{5.24}$$

It is obviously a left $\mathbf{R^e}$-module morphism. It is an isomorphism with inverse the unique morphism $\tilde{\phi}$ for which

$$\tilde{\phi} \circ \sqcap(L,L) = \sqcap(m, \chi^*\Box\chi^*) \circ (\eta_R^{\Box 3}\Box A\Box A). \tag{5.25}$$

$\Box$

For an object $(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{M},\mathbf{J},\mathbf{R},\chi,\sigma,\iota)$ in $\mathbf{DS_R}(\mathcal{M})$ let us use the isomorphism ϕ in (5.24) to define the $\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{A}$-bimodule

$$\mathbf{M_A} = \left(L \underset{R}{\otimes} L, \lambda_{M_A} = \phi \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \lambda_M \circ (A\Box\sigma\circ\phi^{-1}), \rho_{M_A} = \phi \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \rho_M \circ (\sigma\circ\phi^{-1}\Box A\Box A)\right). \tag{5.26}$$

It is easy to see that $\rho_{M_A} \circ [\sqcap(L,L)\Box A\Box A] = \sqcap(L,L) \circ \mu_{A\Box A}$.

Lemma 5.3 *Let* $(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{M},\mathbf{J},\mathbf{R},\chi,\sigma,\iota)$ *be an object in* $\mathbf{DS_R}(\mathcal{M})$ *and* M_A *the* $\mathbf{A}$*-*$\mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{A}$*-bimodule (5.26). Then the unique morphisms* ξ *and* ζ *in* $\mathcal{M}$ *for which the relations*

$$\zeta\circ\sqcap(L,L\underset{R}{\otimes}L)=\sqcap(M_A,A\Box M_A)\circ[\sqcap(L,L)\circ(\eta_A\Box\eta_A)\Box A\Box(L\underset{R}{\otimes}L)] \tag{5.27}$$
$$\xi\circ\sqcap(L\underset{R}{\otimes}L,L)=\sqcap(M_A,M_A\Box A)\circ[\sqcap(L,L)\circ(\eta_A\Box\eta_A)\Box(L\underset{R}{\otimes}L)\Box A] \tag{5.28}$$

hold true are both isomorphisms and

$$\zeta\circ\xi^{-1}=[\phi\circ\sigma^{-1}\underset{A\Box A}{\otimes}(A\Box\phi\circ\sigma^{-1})]\circ a_A\circ[\sigma\circ\phi^{-1}\underset{A\Box A}{\otimes}(\sigma\circ\phi^{-1}\Box A)] \tag{5.29}$$

where a_A *is the coherent bimodule isomorphism satisfying (5.18). In particular,* $\zeta\circ\xi^{-1}$ *is an* $\mathbf{A}$*-*$\mathbf{A}^{\Box 3}$*-bimodule isomorphism.*

Proof. The isomorphism property of ζ and ξ is proven by constructing their inverses as the unique morphisms $\tilde{\zeta}$ and $\tilde{\xi}$ in $\mathcal{M}$ for which

$$\tilde{\zeta}\circ\sqcap(M_A,A\Box M_A)\circ[\sqcap(L,L)\Box A\Box M_A]=\sqcap(L,L\underset{R}{\otimes}L)\circ\lambda_{A\Box M_A} \tag{5.30}$$
$$\tilde{\xi}\circ\sqcap(M_A,M_A\Box A)\circ[\sqcap(L,L)\Box M_A\Box A]=\sqcap(L\underset{R}{\otimes}L,L)\circ\lambda_{M_A\Box A}. \tag{5.31}$$

The equation (5.29) follows from (5.27) and

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\phi\circ\sigma^{-1}\underset{A\Box A}{\otimes}(A\Box\phi\circ\sigma^{-1})]\circ a_A\circ[\sigma\circ\phi^{-1}\underset{A\Box A}{\otimes}(\sigma\circ\phi^{-1}\Box A)]\circ\xi\circ\sqcap(L,L\underset{R}{\otimes}L)\circ(A\Box\sqcap(L,L))\\
&=[\phi\underset{A\Box A}{\otimes}(A\Box\phi)]\circ[a_{R^e}\underset{R^e\Box R^e\Box R^e}{\otimes}(\chi^*\Box\chi^*\Box\chi^*)]\circ[\phi^{-1}\underset{A\Box A}{\otimes}(\phi^{-1}\Box A)]\circ\sqcap(M_A,M_A\Box A)\\
&\quad\circ[\sqcap(L,L)\circ(\eta_A\Box\eta_A)\Box\sqcap(L,L)\Box A]\\
&=\sqcap(M_A,A\Box M_A)\circ[\sqcap(L,L)\circ(\eta_A\Box\eta_A)\Box A\Box\sqcap(L,L)]
\end{aligned}$$

which is the consequence of (5.28), (5.18) and (5.15). □

For an object $(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{M},\mathbf{J},\mathbf{R},\chi,\sigma,\iota)$ in $\mathbf{DS_R}(\mathcal{M})$ let us use the isomorphisms ζ and ξ in (5.27-5.28) to define the $\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{A}^{\Box 3}$-bimodule

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{M_3}=\big(L\underset{R}{\otimes}L\underset{R}{\otimes}L,\ &\lambda_{M_3}=\xi^{-1}\circ\lambda_{M_A\otimes(M_A\Box A)}\circ(A\Box\xi)=\zeta^{-1}\circ\lambda_{M_A\otimes(A\Box M_A)}\circ(A\Box\zeta)\,,\\
&\rho_{M_3}=\xi^{-1}\circ\rho_{M_A\otimes(M_A\Box A)}\circ(\xi\Box A^{\Box 3})=\zeta^{-1}\circ\lambda_{M_A\otimes(A\Box M_A)}\circ(\zeta\Box A^{\Box 3})\big)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

Theorem 5.4 *The categories* $\mathbf{Bgd_R}(\mathcal{M})$ *and* $\mathbf{DS_R}(\mathcal{M})$ *are equivalent.*

Proof. We start with the construction of a functor $\mathcal{F}:\mathbf{DS_R}(\mathcal{M})\to\mathbf{Bgd_R}(\mathcal{M})$. It maps the object $(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{M},\mathbf{J},\mathbf{R},\chi,\sigma,\iota)$ of $\mathbf{DS_R}(\mathcal{M})$ to the bialgebroid $(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{R},s,t,\gamma,\pi)$ where

$$s=\chi\circ(R\Box\eta_R)\qquad t=\chi\circ(\eta_R\Box R) \tag{5.33}$$
$$\gamma=\lambda_{M_A}\circ[A\Box\sqcap(L,L)\circ(\eta_A\Box\eta_A)] \tag{5.34}$$
$$\pi=\iota^{-1}\circ\lambda_J\circ(A\Box\iota\circ\eta_R) \tag{5.35}$$

and the morphism λ_{M_A} is introduced in (5.26). Both γ and π are $\mathbf{R}$-$\mathbf{R}$-bimodule morphisms by the left $\mathbf{R^e}$-module morphism property of ι and of $\phi\circ\sigma^{-1}$, respectively. The axioms (5.2-5.6) follow easily from the definitions (5.34-5.35) using the identities $\pi\circ s=R$ and

$$\rho_{M_A}\circ(\gamma\Box A\Box A)=\lambda_{M_A}\circ(A\Box\sqcap(L,L)). \tag{5.36}$$

The non-trivial properties are the coassociativity of γ and the counit property of π. The coassociativity follows from the Lemma 5.3 as follows. Use the first forms of λ_{M_3} and of ρ_{M_3} in (5.32) and the identity (5.36) to conclude that

$$\lambda_{M_3}\circ[A\Box\sqcap(L\underset{R}{\otimes}L,L)\circ(\sqcap(L,L)\Box A)]=\rho_{M_3}\circ[(\gamma\underset{R}{\otimes}L)\circ\gamma\Box A\Box A\Box A]$$

and the second forms of λ_{M_3} and of ρ_{M_3} in (5.32) and (5.36) to show that

$$\lambda_{M_3} \circ [A\Box \sqcap (L, L \underset{R}{\otimes} L) \circ (A\Box \sqcap (L,L))] = \rho_{M_3} \circ [(L \underset{R}{\otimes} \gamma) \circ \gamma \Box A \Box A \Box A].$$

This results $(L \underset{R}{\otimes} \gamma) \circ \gamma = \lambda_{M_3} \circ \{A\Box \sqcap (L \underset{R}{\otimes} L, L) \circ [\sqcap(L,L) \circ (\eta_A \Box \eta_A) \Box \eta_A]\} = (\gamma \underset{R}{\otimes} L) \circ \gamma$.

The counit property is proven by a similar argument. Introduce the left **A**-module

$$\mathbf{J_A} = \big(R, \iota^{-1} \circ \lambda_J \circ (A\Box\iota) \equiv \pi \circ \mu_A \circ (A\Box s)\big)\,. \tag{5.37}$$

One checks that the unique morphisms in $\mathcal{M}$ which satisfy

$$\kappa_1 \circ \sqcap(M_A, J_A\Box A) = (\pi \underset{R}{\otimes} L) \circ \rho_{M_A} \circ [(L \underset{R}{\otimes} L)\Box s \Box A] \quad \text{and} \tag{5.38}$$

$$\kappa_2 \circ \sqcap(M_A, A\Box J_A) = (L \underset{R}{\otimes} \pi) \circ \rho_{M_A} \circ [(L \underset{R}{\otimes} L)\Box A \Box t] \tag{5.39}$$

are $\kappa_1 = l_A \circ [\sigma \circ \phi^{-1} \underset{A\Box A}{\otimes} (\iota \Box A)]$ and $\kappa_2 = r_A \circ [\sigma \circ \phi^{-1} \underset{A\Box A}{\otimes} (A \Box \iota)]$, respectively, where l_A and r_A are the coherent bimodule isomorphisms satisfying the conditions (5.16) and (5.17). Now using the left **A**-module morphism property of κ_1 and (5.36) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi \underset{R}{\otimes} L) \circ \gamma \quad = \quad & (\pi \underset{R}{\otimes} L) \circ \rho_{M_A} \circ [\gamma \Box \mu_{A\Box A} \circ (\eta_A \Box \eta_A \Box s \circ \eta_R \Box \eta_A)] = \\ & \kappa_1 \circ \lambda_{M_A \otimes (J_A \Box A)} \circ \{A\Box \sqcap (M_A, J_A\Box A) \circ [\sqcap(L,L) \circ (\eta_A \Box \eta_A) \Box \eta_R \Box \eta_A]\} = \\ & \mu_A \circ [A\Box(\pi \underset{R}{\otimes} L) \circ \sqcap(L,L) \circ (\eta_A \Box \eta_A)] = A \end{aligned} \tag{5.40}$$

and from the left **A**-module morphism property of κ_2 it follows that $(L \underset{R}{\otimes} \gamma) \circ \gamma = A$. This finishes the construction of the functor $\mathcal{F}$ on the objects.

The functor $\mathcal{F}$ acts on the morphisms as the identity map. For a morphism $\Phi : (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{R}, \chi, \sigma, \iota) \to (\mathbf{A}', \mathbf{M}', \mathbf{J}', \mathbf{R}, \chi', \sigma', \iota')$ in $\mathbf{DS_R}(\mathcal{M})$ the conditions (5.9-5.10) follow from the fact that Φ is a bimodule morphism $L \to L'$ and a left $\mathbf{R^e}$-module morphism $\chi^* \to \chi'^*$ and the left **A**-module morphism property of the morphisms (5.22-5.23).

Let us turn to the construction of the functor $\mathcal{G} : \mathbf{Bgd_R}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathbf{DS_R}(\mathcal{M})$. It maps the object $\mathcal{A} = (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{R}, s, t, \gamma, \pi)$ in $\mathbf{Bgd_R}(\mathcal{M})$ to $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{M_A}, \mathbf{J_A}, \mathbf{R}, \chi, \phi, R)$ where $\mathbf{M_A}$ is the $\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{A}$-bimodule defined on the object $L \underset{R}{\otimes} L$ as in (5.7-5.8), the $\mathbf{J_A}$ is the left **A**-module

$$(R, \pi \circ \mu_A \circ (A\Box R))$$

and $\chi{:}= \mu_A \circ (s \Box t)$ and the morphism ϕ has been introduced in (5.24).

We claim that $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{M_A}, \mathbf{J_A})$ is a pseudo-monoid in $\mathbf{BIM}(\mathcal{M})$ by constructing the coherent bimodule isomorphisms l_A, r_A and a_A. The l_A and r_A are the unique morphisms in $\mathcal{M}$ which satisfy

$$l_A \circ \sqcap(M_A, J_A\Box A) = (\pi \underset{R}{\otimes} L) \circ \rho_{M_A} \circ [(L \underset{R}{\otimes} L)\Box s \Box A] \qquad \text{and} \tag{5.41}$$

$$r_A \circ \sqcap(M_A, A\Box J_A) = (L \underset{R}{\otimes} \pi) \circ \rho_{M_A} \circ [(L \underset{R}{\otimes} L)\Box A \Box t], \tag{5.42}$$

respectively. Both are isomorphisms with inverses

$$\begin{aligned} l_A^{-1} &= \sqcap(M_A, J_A\Box A) \circ [\sqcap(L,L) \circ (\eta_A \Box \eta_A) \Box \eta_R \Box A] \\ r_A^{-1} &= \sqcap(M_A, A\Box J_A) \circ [\sqcap(L,L) \circ (\eta_A \Box \eta_A) \Box A \Box \eta_R]. \end{aligned}$$

Their right **A**-module morphism property is obvious. The left **A**-module morphism property follows from (5.6), the left **R**-module morphism property of γ, the axioms (5.3) and (5.4) and the counit property of π.

In order to construct a_A introduce the morphisms ζ and ξ with the same formulae (5.27-5.28) as in Lemma 5.3 with the only difference that M_A stands now for the $\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{A}$-bimodule (5.7-5.8). Just the same way as in the Lemma they are shown to be isomorphisms. Set

$$a_A{:}= \zeta \circ \xi^{-1}. \tag{5.43}$$

Its bimodule morphism property follows from the bimodule morphism property of

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &: (L \underset{R}{\otimes} L \underset{R}{\otimes} L, \lambda_3, \rho_3) \to \mathbf{M_A} \underset{\mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{A}}{\otimes} (\mathbf{M_A}\Box\mathbf{A}) \qquad \text{and} \\ \xi &: (L \underset{R}{\otimes} L \underset{R}{\otimes} L, \lambda_3', \rho_3) \to \mathbf{M_A} \underset{\mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{A}}{\otimes} (\mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{M_A}) \end{aligned}$$

(where the morphisms λ_3, λ_3' and ρ_3 are the unique morphisms in $\mathcal{M}$ for which

$$\rho_3 \circ [\sqcap(L \underset{R}{\otimes} L, L)\Box A^{\Box 3}] = \sqcap(L \underset{R}{\otimes} L, L) \circ \rho_{M_A \Box A} \quad \Leftrightarrow \tag{5.44}$$

$$\rho_3 \circ [\sqcap(L, L \underset{R}{\otimes} L)\Box A^{\Box 3}] = \sqcap(L, L \underset{R}{\otimes} L) \circ \rho_{A \Box M_A} \tag{5.45}$$

$$\lambda_3 \circ [A\Box \sqcap (L, L \underset{R}{\otimes} L) \circ (A\Box \sqcap (L, L))] = \rho_3 \circ [(L \underset{R}{\otimes} \gamma) \circ \gamma\Box A^{\Box 3}] \tag{5.46}$$

$$\lambda_3' \circ [A\Box \sqcap (L \underset{R}{\otimes} L, L) \circ (\ \sqcap(L, L)\Box A)\ ] = \rho_3 \circ [(\gamma \underset{R}{\otimes} L) \circ \gamma\Box A^{\Box 3}] \qquad) \tag{5.47}$$

and the coassociativity of γ.

The proof of the pseudo-monoid property of $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{M_A}, \mathbf{J_A})$ is completed by the lengthy but straightforward check of the triangle and pentagon conditions.

The fact that the bimodule isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &: \mathbf{m} \underset{R^e \Box R^e}{\otimes} (\chi^* \Box \chi^*) \to \chi^* \underset{A}{\otimes} \mathbf{M_A} \\ R &: \mathbf{j} \to \chi^* \underset{A}{\otimes} \mathbf{J_A} \end{aligned}$$

satisfy the conditions (5.16-5.18) follows from the identities (5.41) and (5.13), (5.42) and (5.14), (5.43) and (5.15) – after some playing with the coequalizers.

The functor $\mathcal{G}$ acts on the morphisms as the identity map. One checks that for a morphism $\Phi : (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{R}, s, t, \gamma, \pi) \to (\mathbf{A}', \mathbf{R}, s', t', \gamma', \pi')$ in $\mathbf{Bgd_R}(\mathcal{M})$ the conditions (5.19-5.21) follow from (5.9-5.10).

The composite functor $\mathcal{FG}$ is the identity functor $\mathbf{Bgd_R}(\mathcal{M})$, while $\mathcal{GF}$ is naturally equivalent to the identity functor $\mathbf{DS_R}(\mathcal{M})$. The image of the object $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{R}, \chi, \sigma, \iota)$ in $\mathbf{DS_R}(\mathcal{M})$ under $\mathcal{GF}$ is the object $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{M_A}, \mathbf{J_A}, \mathbf{R}, \chi, \phi, R)$ where $\mathbf{M_A}$ is the $\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{A}$-bimodule defined in (5.26), $\mathbf{J_A}$ is the left $\mathbf{A}$-module (5.37) and ϕ is the morphism (5.24). The required natural equivalence at the object $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{R}, \chi, \sigma, \iota)$ is the identity morphism A. As a matter of fact the $\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{A}$-bimodule (A, μ_A, μ_A) with the coherent bimodule isomorphisms $\sigma \circ \phi^{-1} : \mathbf{M_A} \to \mathbf{M}$ and $\iota : \mathbf{J_A} \to \mathbf{J}$ is a strong monoidal morphism $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{M}, \mathbf{J}) \to (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{M_A}, \mathbf{J_A})$ since the coherence conditions (5.16) and (5.17) hold true by the observation that the unique morphisms satisfying (5.38-5.39) are $l_A \circ [\sigma \circ \phi^{-1} \underset{A\Box A}{\otimes} (\iota\Box A)]$ and $r_A \circ [\sigma \circ \phi^{-1} \underset{A\Box A}{\otimes} (A\Box\iota)]$, respectively, and the definitions (5.41-5.42). The condition (5.18) follows from Lemma 5.3 and (5.43). □

The definition (5.9-5.10) of bialgebroid morphisms is very restrictive. In the case of bialgebroids in $\mathcal{M}_k$ more general morphisms have been introduced in [20] and also in [25]. The idea of [25] can be applied also in our context. One can introduce a bigger category $\mathbf{DS}(\mathcal{M})$ the objects of which are the objects of all the categories $\mathbf{DS_R}(\mathcal{M})$ as $\mathbf{R}$ runs through the monoids in $\mathcal{M}$. The definition of the morphisms follows Street's definition of the 1-cells in the bicategory of monads [21]. Then the object functions of the functors $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ constructed in the proof can be used to define a bigger category $\mathbf{Bgd}(\mathcal{M})$ of bialgebroids in $\mathcal{M}$. As a guiding principle they are required to be the object functions of equivalence functors $\mathcal{F}' : \mathbf{Bgd}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathbf{DS}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{G}' : \mathbf{DS}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathbf{Bgd}(\mathcal{M})$, respectively. We do not consider this question in more detail here.

The axioms of the internal left bialgebroid in the Definition 5.1 are not invariant under the change of the monoid $\mathbf{A}$ to the opposite $\mathbf{A}^{op}$. As the opposite version of the left bialgebroid the right bialgebroid is introduced as follows.

Definition 5.5 A *right bialgebroid* in the symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{M}, \Box, U)$ with coequalizers consists of the data $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{R}, s, t, \gamma, \pi)$ where $\mathbf{A} = (A, \mu_A, \eta_A)$ and $\mathbf{R} = (R, \mu_R, \eta_R)$ are monoids in $\mathcal{M}$, the $s : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{A}$ and the $t : \mathbf{R^{op}} \to \mathbf{A}$ are monoidal morphisms such that

$$\mu_A \circ (s\Box t) = \mu_A \circ \Sigma_{A,A} \circ (s\Box t)$$

and $\mathbf{K} = (K, \gamma, \pi)$ is a comonoid in ${}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ where K is the $\mathbf{R}$-$\mathbf{R}$-bimodule

$$(A, \mu_A \circ \Sigma_{A,A} \circ (t \Box A), \mu_A \circ (A \Box s))\,.$$

They are subject to the axioms

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_{N_A} \circ (\eta_A \Box t \Box \gamma) = \lambda_{N_A} \circ (s \Box \eta_A \Box \gamma)\\
&\gamma \circ \eta_A = \sqcap(K, K) \circ (\eta_A \Box \eta_A)\\
&\gamma \circ \mu_A = \rho_{N_A} \circ (\gamma \Box A)\\
&\pi \circ \eta_A = \eta_R\\
&\pi \circ \mu_A \circ (s \circ \pi \Box A) = \pi \circ \mu_A = \pi \circ \mu_A \circ (t \circ \pi \Box A)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.48}$$

where we introduced the $\mathbf{A}\Box\mathbf{A}$-$\mathbf{A}$-bimodule $\mathbf{N_A} = (K \underset{R}{\otimes} K, \lambda_{N_A}, \rho_{N_A})$ with the unique morphisms in $\mathcal{M}$ for which

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_{N_A} \circ (A \Box A \Box \sqcap (K, K)) = \sqcap(K, K) \circ \mu_{A \Box A}\\
&\rho_{N_A} \circ (\sqcap(K, K) \Box A) = \lambda_{N_A} \circ (A \Box A \Box \gamma).
\end{aligned}$$

6 The formulation of internal bialgebroids in terms of entwining structures and corings

The axioms of the bialgebra over a commutative ring k have been related to entwining structures in ([8], Proposition 33.1) and to corings in ([28], Proposition 5.2). Our aim in this section is to give similar relation between bialgebroids, entwining structures and corings in symmetric monoidal categories with coequalizers.

Throughout the section $(\mathcal{M}, \Box, U, \Sigma)$ is a symmetric monoidal category with coequalizers, $\mathbf{R} = (R, \mu_R, \eta_R)$ and $\mathbf{A} = (A, \mu_A, \eta_A)$ are monoids in $\mathcal{M}$ and $\chi : \mathbf{R^e} \to \mathbf{A}$ is a monoidal morphism. Let us introduce the $\mathbf{R}$-$\mathbf{R}$-bimodules

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= (A, \lambda_L = \mu_A \circ (s \Box A), \rho_L = \mu_A \circ \Sigma_{A,A} \circ (A \Box t))\\
S &= (A, \lambda_S = \mu_A \circ (s \Box A), \rho_S = \mu_A \circ (A \Box s))
\end{aligned}$$

where $s = \chi \circ (R \Box \eta_R)$ and $t = \chi \circ (\eta_R \Box R)$. (Notice that the $\mathcal{M}$-objects $L \underset{R}{\otimes} L$ and $L \underset{R}{\otimes} S$ coincide.) Introducing the unique morphism $\mu_S : S \underset{R}{\otimes} S \to S$ for which

$$\mu_S \circ \sqcap(S, S) = \mu_A$$

we have a monoid $\mathbf{S} = (S, \mu_S, s)$ in ${}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$, the monoidal category of $\mathbf{R}$-$\mathbf{R}$-bimodules in $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $\mathbf{L} = (L, \gamma, \pi)$ be a comonoid in ${}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $\rho_{M_A} : (L \underset{R}{\otimes} L) \Box A \Box A \to (L \underset{R}{\otimes} L)$ the morphism (5.7) in $\mathcal{M}$.

Theorem 6.1 *The following are equivalent:*

i) *The comonoid* $\mathbf{L}$ *obeys the properties*

$$\pi \text{ is an epimorphism} \tag{6.1}$$

$$\gamma \circ \rho_S = \rho_{M_A} \circ (\gamma \Box s \Box \eta_A) \tag{6.2}$$

the monoid $\mathbf{S}$, *the comonoid* $\mathbf{L}$ *and the unique morphism* $\psi : \mathbf{S} \underset{R}{\otimes} \mathbf{L} \to \mathbf{L} \underset{R}{\otimes} \mathbf{S}$ *for which*

$$\psi \circ \sqcap(S, L) = \rho_{M_A} \circ (\gamma \Box A \Box \eta_A)$$

form a left entwining structure in ${}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$. (6.3)

ii) *The comonoid* $\mathbf{L}$ *satisfies the properties (6.1), (5.2) and*

$$\mathbf{C} = \left(L \underset{R}{\otimes} S, \lambda_{M_A}, \rho_{M_A} \circ [(L \underset{R}{\otimes} S) \Box \eta_A \Box A], \gamma \underset{R}{\otimes} S, \pi \underset{R}{\otimes} S\right) \tag{6.4}$$

is an $\mathbf{A}$*-coring in* $\mathcal{M}$, *where* $\lambda_{M_A} : A \Box (L \underset{R}{\otimes} S) \to (L \underset{R}{\otimes} S)$ *is the morphism (5.8) in* $\mathcal{M}$.

iii) $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{R}, s, t, \gamma, \pi)$ *is a left bialgebroid in* $\mathcal{M}$.

Remark 6.2 The implication *iii)* $\Rightarrow$ *ii)* of Theorem 6.1 follows from ([6], Proposition 4.1) in the case when $\mathcal{M}$ is the category of modules over a commutative ring.

Remark 6.3 The $i \Leftrightarrow iii)$ part of the Theorem 6.1 is a generalization of ([8], Proposition 33.1 (1)) where no analogues of the conditions (6.1) and (6.2) appear.

We need the condition (6.2) in order for the definition (6.3) of the morphism ψ to make sense. In the context of [8] one works in the category of modules over a commutative ring k. In this case the condition (6.2) is trivial in the sense that it is identical to the k-module morphism property of γ.

The role of (6.1) is different. The starting point in [8] is an algebra (A, μ, η) and a coalgebra (A, γ, π) over a commutative ring k which are entwined by the k-linear map

$$\psi : A \underset{k}{\otimes} A \to A \underset{k}{\otimes} A \qquad a \underset{k}{\otimes} b \mapsto a_{(1)} b \underset{k}{\otimes} a_{(2)}$$

– where we used the Sweedler's convention for the coproduct. It is proven in [8] that both π and γ are multiplicative and γ is unital. The reader may check that the unitality of π is equivalent, however, to the faithfulness of A as a k-module, what is further equivalent to the injectivity of η and also equivalent to the surjectivity of π.

The situation in our more general context is similar. All bialgebroid axioms (5.2-5.6) follow from the assumptions (6.2-6.3) except (5.5). As a matter of fact under the assumptions (6.2-6.3) the following are equivalent:

$$\begin{array}{cl} & \pi \text{ is an epimorphism} \\ \Leftrightarrow & s \text{ is a monomorphism} \\ \Leftrightarrow & \pi \circ \eta_A = \eta_R. \end{array}$$

Proof of Theorem 6.1.

i) $\Rightarrow$ *iii)* By the assumption (6.2) the definition (6.3) of ψ makes sense. Since ψ is assumed to be a right $\mathbf{R}$-module morphism the axiom (5.2) is satisfied. From the assumption that $(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{L}, \psi)$ satisfies (3.1) it follows that the axiom (5.3) holds true, by (3.3) so does (5.4). The axiom (5.5) follows from the assumption (6.1) while (5.6) is the consequence of (3.2) and the identity

$$\pi \circ (\pi \underset{R}{\otimes} S) \circ \rho_{M_A} \circ [(L \underset{R}{\otimes} L) \Box A \Box \eta_A] = \pi \circ \mu_A \circ [(L \underset{R}{\otimes} \pi) \Box A]$$

which follows from the $\mathbf{R}$-$\mathbf{R}$-bimodule morphism property of π.

iii) $\Rightarrow$ *i)* It follows from (5.5) and the left $\mathbf{R}$-module morphism property of π that $\pi \circ s = R$ hence the condition (6.1) holds true. The condition (6.2) follows from (5.4), (5.3) and the left $\mathbf{R}$-module morphism property of γ. The left $\mathbf{R}$-module morphism property of ψ follows from the left $\mathbf{R}$-module morphism property of γ and its right $\mathbf{R}$-module morphism property follows from (5.2). The axiom (3.1) follows from (5.3). The (5.6) implies that

$$(\pi \underset{R}{\otimes} S) \circ \rho_{M_A} \circ [(L \underset{R}{\otimes} L) \Box A \Box \eta_A] = (\pi \underset{R}{\otimes} S) \circ \rho_{M_A} \circ [(L \underset{R}{\otimes} L) \Box s \circ \pi \Box \eta_A]. \tag{6.5}$$

Applying (6.5), the assumption (6.2) and the counit property of π one proves (3.2).

In order to prove (3.3) use the identity

$$(L \underset{R}{\otimes} \mu_S) \circ (\psi \underset{R}{\otimes} S) \circ \sqcap(S, L \underset{R}{\otimes} S) \circ (A \Box \rho_{M_A}) = \rho_{M_A} \circ (\lambda_{M_A} \Box A \Box A)$$

together with (5.4).

The axiom (5.4) implies that both $\gamma \underset{R}{\otimes} L$ and $L \underset{R}{\otimes} \gamma$ are left $\mathbf{A}$-module morphisms $(L \underset{R}{\otimes} L, \lambda_{M_A}) \to (L \underset{R}{\otimes} L \underset{R}{\otimes} L, \lambda_3)$ where λ_3 has been introduced in (5.47). Furthermore

$$(L \underset{R}{\otimes} \psi) \circ (\psi \underset{R}{\otimes} L) \circ \sqcap(S, L \underset{R}{\otimes} L) = \lambda_3 \circ \{A \Box \sqcap (L \underset{R}{\otimes} L, L) \circ [(L \underset{R}{\otimes} L) \Box \eta_A]\}.$$

These observations imply (3.4).

i) $\Rightarrow$ *ii)* The axiom (5.2) holds true by the right **R**-module morphism property of ψ.

Since $\mathcal{M}$ is a monoidal category with coequalizers so is ${}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$. Using the method of the Example 4.5 we can construct an **S**-coring in ${}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ as

$$(\mathbf{L}\underset{R}{\otimes}\mathbf{S},\Lambda,L\underset{R}{\otimes}\mu_S,\gamma\underset{R}{\otimes}S,\pi\underset{R}{\otimes}S) \tag{6.6}$$

where $\Lambda=(L\underset{R}{\otimes}\mu_S)\circ(\psi\underset{R}{\otimes}S)$.

The forgetful functor $G^R:{}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{R}}\to\mathcal{M}$ is a monoidal functor preserving the module tensor product hence by Proposition 4.6 it maps the **S**-coring (6.6) in ${}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ to an **A**-coring in $\mathcal{M}$. It is straightforward to check that it is the **A**-coring (6.4).

ii) $\Rightarrow$ *i)* The unitality of the bimodule (5.7-5.8) implies that $\gamma\circ\eta_A=\sqcap(L,L)\circ(\eta_A\Box\eta_A)$ and by the left **R**-module morphism property of γ also $\gamma\circ s=\sqcap(L,L)\circ(s\Box\eta_A)$. Combining this result with the assumption that $(L\underset{R}{\otimes}S,\lambda_{M_A})$ is a left **A**-module in $\mathcal{M}$, one proves the condition (6.2).

This makes it sensible to introduce the unique morphism $\Lambda:S\underset{R}{\otimes}L\underset{R}{\otimes}S\to L\underset{R}{\otimes}S$ in $\mathcal{M}$ with the requirement that

$$\Lambda\circ\sqcap(S,L\underset{R}{\otimes}S)=\lambda_{M_A}. \tag{6.7}$$

Observe that the **A**-coring (6.4) in $\mathcal{M}$ is the image of the **S**-coring

$$(\mathbf{L}\underset{R}{\otimes}\mathbf{S},\Lambda,L\underset{R}{\otimes}\mu_S,\gamma\underset{R}{\otimes}S,\pi\underset{R}{\otimes}S)$$

in ${}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ under the forgetful functor $G^R:{}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{R}}\to\mathcal{M}$. Indeed, since G^R is a monoidal functor preserving the module tensor product and it is faithful and $G^R_2=\sqcap(\ ,\ )$ is epi, the functor G^R reflects corings by Proposition 4.6.

The morphism $\psi:=\Lambda\circ(S\underset{R}{\otimes}L\underset{R}{\otimes}s):S\underset{R}{\otimes}L\to L\underset{R}{\otimes}S$ in $\mathcal{M}$ satisfies

$$\Lambda=(L\underset{R}{\otimes}\mu_S)\circ(\psi\underset{R}{\otimes}S)$$

hence we can apply the "only if" part of the statement in the Example 4.5 to conclude the claim. $\Box$

It is straightforward to prove the 'right handed' version of the Theorem 6.1 that is to formulate the axioms of the right bialgebroid in $\mathcal{M}$ in terms of a coring in $\mathcal{M}$ and alternatively, in terms of a right entwining structure in ${}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$.

The construction of associating a coring (6.4) to a bialgebroid can be applied to a bialgebra H over a commutative ring k. As it is known from ([15], Theorem 1.1) the resulting coring is Galois if and only if H is a Hopf algebra. Motivated by this result in the rest of the section we investigate under what conditions on the bialgebroid $(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{R},s,t,\gamma,\pi)$ is the **A**-coring (6.4) Galois.

The Galois property of corings is defined w.r.t. a given group-like morphism. Let us fix the group-like morphism $\sqcap(L,S)\circ(\eta_A\Box\eta_A)$ for the coring (6.4). The corresponding coinvariant subobject of A is identified by the following

Lemma 6.4 *The diagram on the Figure 4 is an equalizer diagram in* $\mathcal{M}$*.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
R \arrow[r, "t"] & A \arrow[r, shift left, "\rho_{M_A}\circ[\sqcap(L,S)\circ(\eta_A\Box\eta_A)\Box\eta_A\Box A]"] \arrow[r, shift right, "\lambda_{M_A}\circ[A\Box\sqcap(L,S)\circ(\eta_A\Box\eta_A)]"'] & L\underset{R}{\otimes}S
\end{tikzcd}$$

Figure 4: The coinvariant subobject in the coring (6.4)

Proof. The commutativity of the diagram on Figure 4 follows from the observation that $\rho_{M_A}\circ[\sqcap(L,S)\circ(\eta_A\Box\eta_A)\Box\eta_A\Box A]=\sqcap(L,S)\circ(\eta_A\Box A)$ and $\lambda_{M_A}\circ[A\Box\sqcap(L,S)\circ(\eta_A\Box\eta_A)]=\gamma$, the axiom (5.3) and the right **R**-module morphism property of γ.

The universality of the morphism $t:R\to A$ follows since for any object X and morphism $f:X\to A$ in $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\sqcap(L,S)\circ(\eta_A\Box f)=\gamma\circ f$ the morphism f factorizes through R in the unique way $f=t\circ\pi\circ f$. $\Box$

Recall that the Galois property of an internal coring is equivalent to the isomorphism property of the morphism κ defined by (4.6). Substituting the group-like morphism $\sqcap(L,S)\circ(\eta_A\Box\eta_A)$ and the equalizer in the Lemma 6.4 the definition (4.6) takes the form

$$\kappa\circ\sqcap(t_*,t^*)=\rho_{M_A}\circ(\gamma\Box\eta_A\Box A). \tag{6.8}$$

The morphism κ introduced by (6.8) has been studied by P. Schauenburg [19] in the case of bialgebroids $(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{R},s,t,\gamma,\pi)$ in the category $\mathcal{M}_k$. In that case the isomorphism property of κ was shown to be equivalent to the strong right closed property of the forgetful functor ${}_{\mathbf{A}}\mathcal{M}\to{}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ hence it was proposed as the definition of the $\times_R$-Hopf algebra.

Corollary 6.5 *Let $\mathcal{A}=(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{R},s,t,\gamma,\pi)$ be a left bialgebroid in $\mathcal{M}$. The corresponding $\mathbf{A}$-coring (6.4) is Galois (with respect to the coinvariant subobject R of A corresponding to the group-like morphism $\gamma\circ\eta_A$) if and only if the morphism κ in $\mathcal{M}$ introduced in (6.8) is an isomorphism. In this case we may call $\mathcal{A}$ a $\times_R$-*Hopf algebra.

7 Duality of internal bialgebroids

The entwining structure is a self-dual notion in the sense of Remark 3.4. Therefore the the description of the internal bialgebroid in the part $i)$ of Theorem 6.1 provides a natural framework for the study of its duality. That is one can ask whether the dual of the entwining structure in the part $i)$ of Theorem 6.1 – if exists – satisfies analogous properties to (6.1-6.3) hence determines a dual bialgebroid. The answer is given by the following

Theorem 7.1 *Let $(\mathcal{M},\Box,U,\Sigma)$ be a symmetric monoidal category with coequalizers. Let $\mathcal{A}=(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{R},s,t,\gamma,\pi)$ be a left bialgebroid in $\mathcal{M}$ and $(\mathbf{S},\mathbf{L},\psi)$ the entwining structure in ${}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$ as in the part $i)$ of Proposition 6.1. Suppose that both $\mathbf{R}$-$\mathbf{R}$ bimodules S and L possess right duals in ${}_{\mathbf{R}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{R}}$. Then the dual bimodules have the form*

$$L^r=(B,\mu_B\circ(\pi^r\Box B),\mu_B\circ(B\Box\pi^r)) \tag{7.9}$$
$$S^r=(B,\mu_B\circ\Sigma_{B,B}\circ(q\Box B),\mu_B\circ(B\Box\pi^r))\,, \tag{7.10}$$

respectively, where B is some object and q is some morphism in $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ is the monoid $(B,\gamma^r\circ\sqcap(L^r,L^r),\pi^r\circ\eta_R)$ in $\mathcal{M}$. The right entwining structure $(\mathbf{L}^r,\mathbf{S}^r,\psi^r)$ obeys the properties

$$s^r \text{ is an epimorphism} \tag{7.11}$$
$$\psi^r\circ\sqcap(S^r,L^r)=\lambda_{N_A}\circ(\eta_B\Box B\Box\mu^r) \tag{7.12}$$

where λ_{N_A} is the unique morphism in $\mathcal{M}$ for which $\lambda_{N_A}\circ(B\Box B\Box\sqcap(L^r,S^r))=\sqcap(L^r,S^r)\circ\mu_{B\Box B}$. Equivalently, $(\mathbf{B},\mathbf{R},\pi^r,q,\mu^r,s^r)$ is a right bialgebroid in $\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. Let us denote the evaluation and coevaluation morphisms by

$$\cup_S:S\underset{R}{\otimes}S^r\to R \qquad \cap_S:R\to S^r\underset{R}{\otimes}S$$
$$\cup_L:L\underset{R}{\otimes}L^r\to R \qquad \cap_L:R\to L^r\underset{R}{\otimes}L.$$

It is obvious that L^r is of the form (7.9) since $\mathbf{L}^r=(L^r,\gamma^r,\pi^r)$ is a monoid in $\mathcal{M}$. Since $\lambda_L=\lambda_S$, the $\mathcal{M}$-object parts B of L^r and B' of S^r are isomorphic via

$$t\ :=(S^r\underset{R}{\otimes}\cup_L)\circ\sqcap(S^r\underset{R}{\otimes}L,L^r)\circ(\cap_S\circ\eta_R\Box B)\ :\ B\to B'$$
$$t^{-1}:=(L^r\underset{R}{\otimes}\cup_S)\circ\sqcap(L^r\underset{R}{\otimes}S,S^r)\circ(\cap_L\circ\eta_R\Box B')\ :\ B'\to B.$$

What is more $t:L^r\to S^r$ is a right $\mathbf{R}$-module isomorphism. This means that we can choose the objects B and B' to be the same and require the equivalent conditions on $\mathcal{M}$-morphisms

$$\begin{aligned}&t=B\\ \Leftrightarrow\quad&\cup_L\circ\sqcap(L,L^r)=\cup_S\circ\sqcap(S,S^r)\\ \Leftrightarrow\quad&\cap_L\circ\eta_R=\cap_S\circ\eta_R\end{aligned}$$

to hold true. (Notice that then the $\mathcal{M}$-objects $S^r \underset{R}{\otimes} S$, $S^r \underset{R}{\otimes} L$, $L^r \underset{R}{\otimes} S$ and $L^r \underset{R}{\otimes} L$ are all equal.) The identity $\lambda_{S^r} = \mu_B \circ \Sigma_{B,B} \circ (q \Box B)$ is checked by direct calculation setting $q := \lambda_{S^r} \circ (R \Box \eta_B)$ and using the forms

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{S^r} &= (S^r \underset{R}{\otimes} \cup_S) \circ \sqcap(S^r \underset{R}{\otimes} S, S^r) \circ [\rho_{S^r \otimes S} \circ (\cap_S \circ \eta_R \Box R) \Box B] \\
\mu_B &= (L^r \underset{R}{\otimes} \cup_L) \circ (L^r \underset{R}{\otimes} L \underset{R}{\otimes} \cup_L \underset{R}{\otimes} L^r) \circ [(L^r \underset{R}{\otimes} \gamma) \circ \cap_L \underset{R}{\otimes} \sqcap(L^r, L^r)] \\
\eta_B &= (L^r \underset{R}{\otimes} \pi) \circ \cap_L \circ \eta_R
\end{aligned}$$

and the identity $\rho_{M_A} \circ (\gamma \Box s \Box \eta_A) = \gamma \circ \rho_S$.

Since $\pi \circ s = R$ also $s^r \circ \pi^r = R$ which proves that s^r is an epimorphism. In order to prove the identity (7.12) let us introduce the **B**-**R** bimodule

$$\mathbf{P} = \left(L^r \underset{R}{\otimes} S^r, \lambda_{N_A} \circ (\eta_B \Box B \Box (L^r \underset{R}{\otimes} S^r)), \rho_{L^r \otimes S^r}\right).$$

The identity $\psi \circ \sqcap(S, L) = \rho_{M_A} \circ (\gamma \Box A \Box \eta_A)$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(S^r \underset{R}{\otimes} \mu_S) \circ \sqcap(S^r \underset{R}{\otimes} S, S) \circ [(\gamma^r \underset{R}{\otimes} S) \circ (L^r \underset{R}{\otimes} \cap_L) \Box A] \circ \Sigma_{A,B} = \\
&\qquad (S^r \underset{R}{\otimes} L \underset{R}{\otimes} \cup_S) \circ \sqcap(S^r \underset{R}{\otimes} L \underset{R}{\otimes} S, S^r) \circ [(S^r \underset{R}{\otimes} \psi) \circ \cap_S \underset{R}{\otimes} L) \Box B],
\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(L^r \underset{R}{\otimes} S^r \underset{R}{\otimes} L \underset{R}{\otimes} \cup_S) \circ \sqcap(L^r \underset{R}{\otimes} S^r \underset{R}{\otimes} L \underset{R}{\otimes} S, S^r) \circ [(L^r \underset{R}{\otimes} S^r \underset{R}{\otimes} \psi) \circ (L^r \underset{R}{\otimes} \cap_S \underset{R}{\otimes} L) \circ \cap_L \circ \eta_R \Box B] \\
&\qquad = (L^r \underset{R}{\otimes} S^r \underset{R}{\otimes} \mu_S) \circ \lambda_{P \otimes S \otimes S} \circ [B \Box (S^r \underset{R}{\otimes} \cap_S \underset{R}{\otimes} S) \circ \cap_S \circ \eta_R],
\end{aligned}$$

hence (7.12).

Applying the 'right handed' version of Theorem 6.1 the properties of the right entwining structure $(\mathbf{L}^r, \mathbf{S}^r, \psi^r)$ proven so far are equivalent to the statement that $(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{R}, \pi^r, q, \mu^r, s^r)$ is a right bialgebroid in $\mathcal{M}$. $\Box$

Acknowledgment. We thank T. Brzeziński and K. Szlachányi for their promoting questions.

The author was supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund OTKA – T 020 285, FKFP – 0043/2001 and the Bolyai János Fellowship.

References

[1] J. Beck *'Distributive laws.'* in: Seminar on Triples and Categorical Homology Theory LNM **80** Springer-Verlag 1969 119-140

[2] G. Böhm, F. Nill, K. Szlachányi *'Weak Hopf Algebras I: Integral Theory and C^*-structure.'* J. Algebra **221** (1999) 385-438

[3] G. Böhm, K. Szlachányi *'Hopf algebroid symmetry of Frobenius extensions of depth 2.'* `preprint math.QA/0305136` to appear in Comm. Algebra

[4] T. Brzeziński *'On modules associated to coalgebra-Galois extensions'.* J. Algebra **215** (1999) 290-317

[5] T. Brzeziński *'The structure of corings.'* Algebra Represent. Theory **5** (2002) 389-410

[6] T. Brzeziński, S. Caenepeel, G. Militaru *'Doi-Koppinen modules for quantum-groupoids.'* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **175** (2002) 46-62

[7] T. Brzeziński, S. Majid *'Coalgebra bundles.'* Comm. Math. Phys. **191** (1998) 467-492

[8] T. Brzeziński, R. Wisbauer *'Corings and Comodules.'* London Math. Soc. LNS 309, Cambridge Univ. Press 2003

[9] S. Caenepeel, E. De Groot *'Modules over weak entwining structures.'* Contemporary Math. **267** (2000) 31-54

[10] B. Day, R. Street *'Quantum categories, star autonomy, and quantum groupoids.'* `preprint math.CT/0301209` to appear in Fields Institute Comm. AMS

[11] L. Kadison, K. Szlachányi *'Bialgebroid actions on depth two extensions and duality'* Advances in Math. **179** (2003) 75-121

[12] J-H. Lu *'Hopf algebroids and quantum groupoids.'* Int. J. Math. **7** (1996) 47-70

[13] S. MacLane *'Categories for the working mathematician.'* Springer Verlag New York Inc. 1998

[14] S. Majid *'Algebras and Hopf algebras in braided categories.'* Lecture Notes Pure and Applied Math. **158** (1994) 55-105 Marcel Dekker

[15] A. Nakajima *'Bialgebras and Galois extensions.'* Math. J. Okayama Univ. **33** (1991) 37-46

[16] F. Nill *'Axioms for weak bialgebras'* `preprint math.QA/9805104`

[17] B. Pareigis *'Non-additive ring- and module theory. I'* Publ. Math. Debrecen **24** (1977) 351-361

[18] B. Pareigis *'On Lie algebras in braided tensor categories.'* Banach Center Publications: Quantum groups and quantum spaces **Vol. 40** (1997) 139-158

[19] P. Schauenburg *'Duals and doubles of quantum groupoids ($\times_R$-Hopf algebras).'* Contemporary Math. **267** (2000) 273-293

[20] P. Schauenburg *'Morita base change in quantum groupoids'* `preprint math.QA/0204338`

[21] R. Street *'The formal theory of monads'* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **2** (1972) 149-168

[22] M. E. Sweedler *'The predual theorem to the Jacobson-Bourbaki theorem.'* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **213** (1975) 391-406

[23] K. Szlachányi *'Finite quantum groupoids and inclusions of finite type.'* Fields Inst. Comm. **30** (2001) 393-407

[24] K. Szlachányi *'Adjointable monoidal functors and quantum groupoids'* `preprint math.QA/0301253` to appear in "Hopf Algebras in Non-commutative Geometry and Physics" Marcel Dekker Lecture Notes Series, Ed. S. Caenepeel, F. Van Oystaeyen

[25] K. Szlachányi *'The monoidal Eilenberg-Moore construction and bialgebroids.'* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **182** (2003) 287-315

[26] M. Takeuchi *'Groups of algebras over $A\otimes\bar{A}$.'* J. Math. Soc. Japan **29** (1977) 459-492

[27] P. Xu *'Quantum Groupoids'* Comm. Math. Phys. **216** (2001) 539-581

[28] R. Wisbauer *'Weak corings.'* J. Algebra **245** (2001) 123-160